

Inferential Statistics Cheat Sheet

BCB744 — Biostatistics Version 3 (3 April 2026)

Professor A.J. Smit

Chapters 1–5 and 26 develop foundations, workflow, and interpretation. The sheet below covers the inferential, diagnostic, and model-based procedures introduced across Chapters 6–25.

QUICK DECISION FLOW

- Response?** Continuous → Gaussian methods; binary or proportion → binomial GLM; count → Poisson or quasi-Poisson.
- Independent?** No → paired procedure or mixed/grouped model.
- Linear enough?** No → polynomial, GAM, nonlinear, or quantile model as appropriate.

Ch.	Cue	Method	Use When	Null / Model Target	Main R Function(s)	Notes, Assumptions, or Follow-up
TESTING DIFFERENCES AND BASIC CHECKS						
Diagnostics and assumption checks						
6	μ G	Shapiro–Wilk normality test	One sample, paired differences, or within-group values may need a formal normality check before a Gaussian mean-based test.	H_0 : the checked values are compatible with a normal distribution.	<code>shapiro.test(x)</code>	Check values on the analysis scale. Prioritise histograms and Q–Q plots; don't use alone.
6	μ G	Levene's test for equal variance	Two or more groups are being compared and homogeneity of spread needs checking.	H_0 : group variances are equal.	<code>car::leveneTest(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	Preferred over Bartlett when normality is doubtful. Most relevant before Student's t -test and classical ANOVA.
6	μ G	Bartlett's test	Several groups are compared and a normal-theory variance test is acceptable.	H_0 : group variances are equal.	<code>bartlett.test(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	More sensitive to non-normality than Levene. Use only when normality is already plausible.
6	μ G	Transformation of the response	Assumptions fail on the raw scale but a transformed scale may stabilise spread or reduce skew.	No null; the aim is a more defensible analysis scale.	<code>mutate(log_y = log(y))</code> <code>mutate(sqrt_y = sqrt(y))</code>	Transform before the final Gaussian analysis, then re-check assumptions.
Mean comparisons: t-tests and rank analogues						
7	μ G	One-sample t -test	One continuous sample is compared with a biologically meaningful reference mean.	$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$.	<code>t.test(x, mu = m0)</code>	Directional alternatives use <code>alternative = "less"</code> or <code>"greater"</code> .
7	μ G	Two-sample Welch t -test	Two independent groups are compared and equal variances should not be assumed.	$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$.	<code>t.test(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	Usually the default. Assumes independence and approximate within-group normality.
7	μ G	Two-sample Student t -test	Two independent groups are compared and equal variances are defensible.	$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$.	<code>t.test(y ~ g, data = df, var.equal = TRUE)</code>	Use only when group spreads are sufficiently similar.
7	μ G	Paired t -test	Two linked measurements are compared within the same sampling units.	H_0 : mean paired difference = 0.	<code>t.test(x, y, paired = TRUE)</code>	Assumptions apply to within-pair differences, not the raw before/after values.
7	\diamond Rk	Wilcoxon signed-rank test	One-sample or paired problem when a rank-based alternative is more defensible.	H_0 : the location shift is 0.	<code>wilcox.test(x, mu = m0)</code> <code>wilcox.test(x, y, paired = TRUE)</code>	Use for one-sample and paired designs. Still requires the correct design logic.
7	\diamond Rk	Wilcoxon rank-sum / Mann–Whitney test	Two independent groups are compared without relying on Gaussian assumptions.	H_0 : the two groups come from the same distributional location.	<code>wilcox.test(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	Rank-based alternative to the two-sample t -test.
ANOVA family and rank-based group comparisons						
8	μ G	One-way ANOVA	One continuous response is compared across more than two independent groups.	$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$.	<code>aov(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	Check residual normality and equal variance. This is the multi-group mean-comparison model.
8	μ G	Factorial / two-way ANOVA	Two or more categorical predictors are fitted together without continuous covariates.	H_0 : no main effects and, if included, no interaction effect.	<code>aov(y ~ A * B, data = df)</code>	Use <code>A + B</code> for additive structure and <code>A * B</code> when the interaction is part of the question.
8,14	μ G	ANCOVA as a linear model	One continuous response is modelled using both categorical and continuous predictors.	Model target: adjusted group differences plus covariate slope(s).	<code>lm(y ~ g + x, data = df)</code>	ANCOVA is a standard linear model with one factor and one or more covariates.
8	μ G	Tukey's HSD post hoc comparison	One-way ANOVA is significant and pairwise mean differences among groups are needed.	H_0 : each pairwise group mean difference = 0.	<code>TukeyHSD(fit)</code>	Use after a fitted <code>aov()</code> object. Controls family-wise error for all pairwise comparisons.
8	\diamond Rk	Kruskal–Wallis test	More than two independent groups are compared with a rank-based omnibus test.	H_0 : groups have the same distributional location.	<code>kruskal.test(y ~ g, data = df)</code>	Rank-based alternative to one-way ANOVA.
8	\diamond Rk	Pairwise Wilcoxon comparisons	Kruskal–Wallis or multi-group rank comparisons require pairwise follow-up.	H_0 : each pair of groups has the same location.	<code>pairwise.wilcox.test(y, g, p.adjust.method = "BH")</code>	Used as a follow-up rather than as the primary omnibus test.
CONTINUOUS MODELING						
Association and correlation						
9	\leftrightarrow Asc	Pearson correlation	Two continuous variables are paired and a linear association is of interest without fitting a response model.	$H_0: \rho = 0$.	<code>cor.test(x, y, method = "pearson")</code>	Appropriate for approximately linear continuous relationships.
9	\leftrightarrow Asc	Spearman rank correlation	Two paired variables show a monotonic but not necessarily linear association, or ranks are more appropriate.	$H_0: \rho_s = 0$.	<code>cor.test(x, y, method = "spearman")</code>	Works for ordinal or non-Gaussian paired data.
9	\leftrightarrow Asc	Kendall's τ	Two paired variables are associated, often with small samples or many ties.	$H_0: \tau = 0$.	<code>cor.test(x, y, method = "kendall")</code>	Often more conservative than Spearman.
Gaussian regression: mean structure						
11	μ G	Residual diagnostics for fitted Gaussian models	A regression model has been fitted and the adequacy of mean and variance structure must be checked.	No null; the aim is to inspect residual structure.	<code>plot(mod, which = 1)</code> <code>plot(mod, which = 2)</code>	Residual-vs-fitted checks mean structure and spread. Q–Q checks residual normality. Independence comes from design.
12	μ G	Simple linear regression	One continuous response is modelled as a linear function of one continuous predictor.	Model target: mean response changes linearly with the predictor.	<code>lm(y ~ x, data = df)</code>	Inference on slopes uses the fitted linear model, not a correlation test in disguise.
12	μ G	Confidence and prediction intervals	A fitted linear model is used for mean-response uncertainty or individual-response prediction.	No null; the aim is interval estimation.	<code>predict(mod, newdata = nd, interval = "confidence")</code> <code>predict(mod, newdata = nd, interval = "prediction")</code>	Confidence intervals describe the mean line; prediction intervals describe future observations and are wider.
12,13	μ G	Breusch–Pagan test	Heteroscedasticity in a fitted linear model needs a formal supporting check.	H_0 : residual variance is constant.	<code>lmtest::bptest(mod)</code>	Use as supporting evidence after reading residual plots.

Continued on next page

(Continued)

Ch.	Cue	Method	Use When	Null / Model Target	Main R Function(s)	Notes, Assumptions, or Follow-up
12,17	μ G	Influence diagnostics	Single observations may be driving the fitted model disproportionately.	No single null; the aim is to identify leverage and influence.	<code>cooks.distance(mod)</code> <code>dffits(mod)</code> <code>plot(mod, which = 5)</code>	Inspect Cook's distance, DFFITS, leverage, and residual-vs-leverage plots before acting on a point.
13	μ G	Polynomial regression	A linear model is too rigid but the problem can still be handled within the <code>lm()</code> framework.	Model target: mean structure includes curvature.	<code>lm(y ~ x + I(x^2), data = df)</code> <code>lm(y ~ poly(x, k), data = df)</code>	Still linear in the coefficients. Compare nested degrees by <code>anova()</code> or <code>AIC()</code> .
14	μ G	Multiple regression	One continuous response depends on several predictors fitted simultaneously.	Model target: partial effects after adjusting for the other predictors.	<code>lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x3, data = df)</code>	Interpret coefficients conditionally. Model specification matters as much as fit.
14,15	μ G	Interaction model	The effect of one predictor may depend on another predictor.	H_0 : the interaction coefficient = 0.	<code>lm(y ~ x1 * x2, data = df)</code>	Use <code>*</code> to include both main effects and the interaction. Respect hierarchy.
14,15, 17	μ G	Nested model comparison for Gaussian linear models	A simpler and a more complex <code>lm()</code> model are nested and need formal comparison.	H_0 : added term(s) do not reduce residual variance beyond chance.	<code>anova(mod_small, mod_large, test = "F")</code>	Appropriate when one model is a special case of the other.
MODEL SELECTION AND MODERN WORKFLOWS						
14,17, 24	Δ Any	Akaike Information Criterion	Several biologically plausible models need relative comparison without a single null hypothesis.	Lower AIC indicates better trade-off between fit and complexity.	<code>AIC(mod1, mod2, mod3)</code>	Useful for non-nested and nested candidate models. Compare only models fitted to the same rows, response data, and response scale.
16	μ G	Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)	Predictors in a multiple regression may be collinear.	No null; the aim is to quantify variance inflation from shared predictor information.	<code>car::vif(mod)</code>	Large VIFs indicate unstable coefficient interpretation. Use alongside correlation matrices and scatterplots.
Dependence and grouped structure						
18	$\bullet\bullet$ Grp	Design check for pseudoreplication	Measurements may have been treated as independent when the design does not support that assumption.	No formal residual null; the target is correct unit-of-analysis logic.	No single function	This is a design problem, not a residual issue. Mixed models handle structured dependence, but they do not rescue true pseudoreplication.
19	$\bullet\bullet$ Grp	Linear mixed model	Gaussian response with grouped, repeated, or clustered observations.	Model target: fixed effects plus random-group variation.	<code>lme4::lmer(y ~ x + (1 group), data = df)</code>	Use when dependence is structured by design, for example shared sites, individuals, years, or plots. This is not a repair for $n = 1$ pseudoreplication.
19	$\bullet\bullet$ Grp	Generalised linear mixed model	Non-Gaussian response with grouped, repeated, or clustered observations.	Model target: non-Gaussian mean structure plus random effects.	<code>lme4::glmer(y ~ x + (1 group), family = ..., data = df)</code>	Extends mixed-model logic to binomial or count responses.
19	$\bullet\bullet$ Grp	Intra-class correlation (ICC)	Variance partitioning is needed after fitting a mixed model.	No null; the target is the proportion of variance attributable to groups.	<code>VarCorr(mod)</code> , <i>then compute ICC</i>	Used to summarise the strength of dependence at the grouping level.
Generalised linear models and proportion/count responses						
20	$0/1$ Bin	One-sample proportion test	A single observed proportion is compared with a null expectation.	$H_0: p = p_0$.	<code>prop.test(x = s, n = n, p = p0)</code>	Presented as a bridge to binomial GLMs when predictors are absent.
20	$0/1$ Bin	Two-sample proportion test	Two binomial proportions are compared without additional predictors.	$H_0: p_1 = p_2$.	<code>prop.test(x = c(s1, s2), n = c(n1, n2))</code> <code>prop.test(matrix_counts)</code>	Useful for simple comparisons; move to a binomial GLM when predictors enter.
20	$0/1$ Bin	Logistic regression (Bernoulli response)	Binary presence/absence or success/failure response with predictors.	Model target: $\text{logit}\{P(y = 1)\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots$.	<code>glm(y ~ x1 + x2, family = binomial, data = df)</code>	Use <code>type = "response"</code> in <code>predict()</code> to recover fitted probabilities.
20	$0/1$ Bin	Binomial GLM for grouped counts	Successes and failures are aggregated for each row.	Model target: $\text{logit}(p) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots$.	<code>glm(cbind(success, failure) ~ x, family = binomial, data = df)</code>	Same link and interpretation as logistic regression, but with grouped trials.
20	# Cnt	Poisson regression	Count response with mean approximately equal to the variance.	Model target: $\text{log}\{E[y]\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots$.	<code>glm(count ~ x, family = poisson, data = df)</code>	Check for overdispersion before trusting Poisson standard errors.
20	# Cnt	Quasi-Poisson regression	Count response shows overdispersion relative to Poisson.	Model target: $\text{log}\{E[y]\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots$, with overdispersed variance.	<code>glm(count ~ x, family = quasipoisson, data = df)</code>	Retains the log-link mean model but adjusts the variance.
Flexible and nonlinear regression						
21	\sim Sm	Gaussian GAM	Relationship is smooth and nonlinear, but a fixed polynomial form is not appropriate.	Model target: smooth mean function estimated from the data.	<code>mgcv::gam(y ~ s(x), data = df, method = "REML")</code>	Use <code>mgcv::gam()</code> or load <code>library(mgcv)</code> . Use cyclic bases such as <code>bs = "cc"</code> for seasonal smooths.
22	$f(x)$ NL	Nonlinear least-squares regression	Mean structure follows a named nonlinear function with interpretable parameters.	Model target: explicit nonlinear response curve, for example Michaelis-Menten.	<code>nls(y ~ f(x, pars), start = list(...), data = df)</code>	Requires sensible starting values and convergence checking.
22	$f(x)$ NL	Linear-vs-nonlinear model comparison	A nonlinear model is compared with a simpler linear alternative.	Relative support for alternative mean structures.	<code>anova(lm_mod, nls_mod)</code> <code>AIC(lm_mod, nls_mod)</code>	Interpret with care because <code>nls()</code> and <code>lm()</code> may reflect different biological questions.
23	Q Q	Quantile regression	Predictor effects may differ across the response distribution rather than only at the mean.	Model target: conditional quantile(s), not conditional mean alone.	<code>quantreg::rq(y ~ x, tau = 0.5, data = df)</code>	Typical choices are <code>tau = 0.1, 0.5, and 0.9</code> .
23	Q Q	Quantile-slope comparison	Quantile regressions have been fitted at several τ values and slope differences need testing.	H_0 : slopes do not differ across the fitted quantiles.	<code>anova(rq_fit_multi_tau)</code>	Useful when upper, median, and lower response boundaries behave differently.
Prediction, evaluation, and regularisation						
17,24	\hat{y} Pred	Hold-out RMSE	Prediction quality is assessed on data not used for fitting.	No null; lower RMSE indicates better predictive performance.	<code>pred <- predict(mod, newdata = test)</code> <code>sqrt(mean((obs - pred)^2))</code>	Prediction and explanation are different goals; a more interpretable model need not minimise prediction error.

Continued on next page

(Continued)

Ch.	Cue	Method	Use When	Null / Model Target	Main R Function(s)	Notes, Assumptions, or Follow-up
17	\hat{y} Pred	K-fold cross-validation for Gaussian models	Generalisation error is estimated by repeated train/test splits across folds.	No null; compare average out-of-fold RMSE across candidate models.	fit with <code>lm()</code> inside each fold, use <code>predict()</code> , then summarise RMSE	Implemented manually in the chapter rather than through a single package wrapper.
25	\hat{y} Pred	Ridge regression	Many correlated predictors are present and coefficient shrinkage is acceptable.	Model target: stable prediction with L_2 penalty.	<code>glmnet::cv.glmnet(X, y, alpha = 0)</code>	Shrinks coefficients toward zero but typically keeps all of them non-zero.
25	\hat{y} Pred	Lasso regression	Prediction and variable selection are both of interest.	Model target: sparse regression with L_1 penalty.	<code>glmnet::cv.glmnet(X, y, alpha = 1)</code>	Can set some coefficients exactly to zero.
25	\hat{y} Pred	Elastic net	Predictors are correlated and a compromise between ridge and lasso is needed.	Model target: mixed L_1/L_2 penalty.	<code>glmnet::cv.glmnet(X, y, alpha = 0.5)</code>	Choose <code>alpha</code> between 0 and 1. Particularly useful when predictors cluster strongly.

Design first. Choose the method from the data structure and not from the software options. The same response variable may require a t -test, ANOVA, regression, mixed model, or GLM depending on independence, grouping, pairing, and whether predictors are categorical or continuous.

Response scale. Gaussian methods such as `t.test()`, `aov()`, and `lm()` assume that the analysis is defensible on the chosen response scale. For `aov()` and `lm()`, this is checked mainly through residuals. For `t.test()`, checks apply to the relevant raw values or paired differences. If the assumptions fail, transform the response or move to a method better matched to the data.

Model hierarchy. When an interaction is included, keep its main effects. Use `y ~ x1 * x2` so the interaction and main effects are retained together. When grouped structure is present, fit the grouping rather than pretending the rows are independent.

Model comparison. Use nested `anova(..., test = "F")` when one model is a special case of another. Use `AIC()` for broader candidate-model comparison, but not across different response scales such as y versus $\log(y)$ or across models fitted to different numbers of observations after NA-driven row loss. Use hold-out or cross-validated RMSE when prediction is the objective.

Cue legend. μ Gaussian continuous; \diamond rank-based; \leftrightarrow association; $\theta/1$ binary or proportion; $\#$ count; \bullet grouped or repeated; \sim smooth model; $f(x)$ explicit nonlinear form; Q quantiles; \hat{y} prediction; Δ model comparison workflow.

Icons. Icons are mnemonics: μ mean-based Gaussian, \diamond rank order, \leftrightarrow paired association, $\theta/1$ binary response, $\#$ counts, \bullet clustered observations, \sim smooth function, $f(x)$ explicit nonlinear form, Q quantiles, \hat{y} prediction, Δ model comparison.

Row tinting. Cream rows mark diagnostics or assumption checks. Green rows mark workflow, comparison, or prediction entries rather than primary fitted procedures.

Abbreviations. GLM = generalised linear model; GAM = generalised additive model; ICC = intra-class correlation; RMSE = root mean squared error; VIF = variance inflation factor.

Packages beyond base R used in the course.

- `car` for `leveneTest()` and `vif()`
- `lmtest` for `bptest()`
- `lme4` for `lmer()` and `glmer()`
- `mgcv` for `gam()`
- `quantreg` for `rq()`
- `glmnet` for ridge, lasso, and elastic net

Not every chapter adds a new test. Chapters 1–5, 10, 18, 24, and 26 mainly refine framing, design logic, model choice, interpretation, prediction, and workflow rather than introducing a brand-new inferential procedure.

Map-to-sheet connection. Use the course synthesis companion to decide whether a model is needed and which inferential frame applies (Chapters 1–5). Use this sheet to decide how to fit, check, and report it (Chapters 6–25).

Safer Use Checks

Replication Checks

- The sampling unit is the smallest independently sampled biological or experimental replicate to which inference is intended to apply.
- One-sample methods: one value per independent sampling unit.
- Two-sample methods: one row per independent sampling unit, and no sampling unit appears in both groups.
- Paired methods: the sampling unit is the matched pair; analyse within-pair differences, not raw columns as independent samples.
- ANOVA and ANCOVA: groups must contain independent sampling units unless grouping is modelled explicitly.
- Correlation: both variables are measured on the same sampling units; neither variable is a replicated treatment label in disguise.
- Regression: repeated rows from the same site, tank, plot, year, or individual are not independent sampling units.
- Visual red flag: if the same 'ID', 'Site', or equivalent grouping label appears in multiple rows without being modelled, the analysis is likely pseudoreplicated.
- Interaction hierarchy: once an interaction is retained, keep the main effects with it. Use `y ~ x1 * x2`, not an interaction-only form.
- Mixed and grouped models: use when rows are clustered within higher-level sampling units. They partition variance and dependence; they do not create new independent replicates.

Do Not

- Do not choose a test from the software menu before identifying the sampling unit and dependence structure.
- Do not treat technical replicates, subsamples, or repeated measures as biological replicates.
- Do not use Student's two-sample t -test by default; Welch is usually the safer default.
- Do not read a significant omnibus ANOVA as evidence that every pair of groups differs.
- Do not read a correlation coefficient as a causal effect or as a substitute for a regression with a defined response.
- Do not use residual normality tests to rescue a misspecified mean structure; fix the model first.
- Do not drop main effects when an interaction is retained.
- Do not interpret collinear coefficients as stable biological effects without checking VIFs, plots, and study design.
- Do not use AIC across different response datasets or silently different missing-data subsets.
- Do not treat lower predictive error as proof of better biological explanation.

Reporting Checklist

- t -tests and ANOVA: group summaries, effect direction, effect size, test statistic, degrees of freedom, p -value, and interval where relevant.
- Rank tests: sample summaries, test statistic, p -value, and a clear statement that inference is rank-based.
- Correlation: coefficient type, coefficient estimate, sample size, confidence interval if available, and p -value.
- Linear models: formula, coefficients, effect sizes (β estimates), standard errors, test statistics, p -values, uncertainty intervals, and residual-diagnostic conclusion.
- Mixed models: fixed-effect estimates, random-effect structure, variance components or ICC, and the grouping variable.
- GLMs: family and link, coefficients on the model scale, transformed interpretation where needed, and any dispersion check.
- GAMs and nonlinear models: fitted form, smoothing or parameter details, uncertainty summary, and graphical diagnostic conclusion.
- Predictive comparisons: data split or resampling scheme, performance metric, and whether the goal is explanation or prediction.